

## NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

**P-1123: South Zone-1: Regional Conference on Enhancing Excellence of the Judicial Institutions:  
Challenges and Opportunities  
29<sup>th</sup> – 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2018**

**Programme Coordinator** : Dr. Amit Mehrotra & Mr. Shashwat Gupta, Faculty, NJA, Bhopal  
**No. of Participants** : 99  
**No. of forms received** : 83

<b>I. OVERALL</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	<b>97.59</b>	<b>2.41</b>	-	
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	<b>91.57</b>	<b>8.43</b>	-	17. II, IV & V sessions were very useful NJDG
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	<b>90.36</b>	<b>9.64</b>	-	
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>86.59</b>	<b>13.41</b>	-	
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>65.43</b>	<b>34.57</b>	-	
<b>II. KNOWLEDGE</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>83.13</b>	<b>16.87</b>	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>76.83</b>	<b>23.17</b>	-	-

c. Up to date	<b>72.84</b>	<b>27.16</b>	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>89.16</b>	<b>10.84</b>	-	-
e. Related to international legal norms	<b>42.17</b>	<b>55.42</b>	<b>2.41</b>	
<b>III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	<b>91.57</b>	<b>8.43</b>	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	<b>69.51</b>	<b>30.49</b>	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>77.11</b>	<b>22.89</b>	-	
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	<b>55.42</b>	<b>44.58</b>	-	
<b>IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING</b>				
<b>Parameters</b>				
<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	<b>87.50</b>	<b>12.50</b>	<b>94.03</b>	<b>5.97</b>
2	<b>91.14</b>	<b>8.86</b>	<b>93.85</b>	<b>6.15</b>
3	<b>88.75</b>	<b>11.25</b>	<b>92.42</b>	<b>7.58</b>
4	<b>89.87</b>	<b>10.13</b>	<b>95.38</b>	<b>4.62</b>
5	<b>88.46</b>	<b>11.54</b>	<b>95.38</b>	<b>4.62</b>
<b>V. PROGRAM MATERIALS</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	<b>90.36</b>	<b>9.64</b>	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current	<b>83.13</b>	<b>16.87</b>	-	-

thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area				
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	<b>80.49</b>	<b>19.51</b>	-	-

<b>VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS</b>	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. To be more ICT savvy; To manage court &amp; case management; To be more pragmatic in deciding the case.</p> <p>2. To go that extra mile to contribute my two cents for the fulfilment of the constitutional vision of justice through the work entrusted to me by the virtue of my office.</p> <p>3. I will use new learning skill, idea and knowledge in dispensing justice; The programme enhances my knowledge for my daily work.</p> <p>4. 1. We have enlightened in area in which we are lacking and failure in planning; 2. How we are lacking in transforming the plan of action; 3. Coordinating everything including the lack of infrastructure.</p> <p>5. 1. E-court project 2. NJDG; 3. Constitutional of vision of justice.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. 1. Constitutional visions; 2. E-courts scheme; 3. Others.</p> <p>8. Court management; constitution and the usage of the same; usage of communication technology.</p> <p>9. We must implement constitutional vision of justice as provided in various laws and procedural rules etc. effectively.</p> <p>10. Reducing docket explosion; speedy disposal of cases; time and case management.</p> <p>11. 1. A great opportunity to hear &amp; follow legal luminaries; 2. Real and practical aspects relating to access to justice were discussed &amp; useful insights were shared; 3. Free exchange of thought without hierarchical gap.</p> <p>12. 1. Case and court management; 2. Use of technology in access of justice.</p> <p>13. 1. Exchange of thoughts from various high courts judges was useful; 2. Got tips to manage courts; 3. Opportunity to discuss with other states judges.</p> <p>14. 1. Lecture for our day to day work; 2. Sharing of experiences by High Court and Supreme Court Judges. 3. Up-date with technology.</p> <p>15. 1. The new system to be adopted; 2. The case to case experience. 3. Methodologies.</p> <p>16. 1. Constitutional vision of justice; 2. Court and case management; 3. NJDG &amp; e-filing.</p> <p>17. 1. Comfort in shared discomfort; 2. NJDG &amp; e-filing in Delhi; 3. Access to justice not just access to courts but comprehensive.</p> <p>18. Vision of justice that a trial court judge must have; Building synergies between the judges.</p>

	<p>19. 1. Case and court management; 2. Building synergies: High Court and District Court; 3. NJDG system.</p> <p>20. Enlighten constitutional vision and information and communication technology in court.</p> <p>21. 1. How to enhance individual and constitutional excellence; 2. Need to have analytical reading; 3. How best the programmes can be conducted.</p> <p>22. Innovative, useful and updated our knowledge.</p> <p>23. Effect of paperless courts; The effect information technology is going to have upon the judiciary in future; Our each and every action is reflected as data.</p> <p>24. Vision without constitution is nothing. Further I got a confidence that our lordship are our guardian to success and the whole of justice delivery system.</p> <p>25. 1. The judge must have vision of wisdom; 2. Ensuring friendly justice is the real justice; 3. Using the court management techniques.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. 1. Constitutional vision of justice; 2. The extent of appellate interference; 3. Court and case management.</p> <p>28. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>29. Understand the synergies between Hon'ble High Court and District Judiciary and the views of the lordships about subordinate officers and protection given by them and also constitutional vision in delivering justice.</p> <p>30. Constitutional vision of justice.</p> <p>31. Building synergies between high court &amp; district judiciary. The lordship reflected the practical difficulties faced by the subordinate judges. It is useful to understand how the high court want the district judiciary to work.</p> <p>32. 1. Judges work is service; 2. Decide disputes with empathy; 3. Need to switch over fully to e-court.</p> <p>33. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>34. I have been enlightend to discharge my duties more faithfully.</p> <p>35. 1. The lecture on court management is very useful; 2. Constitutional vision of justice is very useful and I really benefited.</p> <p>36. 1. Speedy and qualitative disposal of cases; 2. While disposing of the cases we must keep in mind the constitutional vision of justice; 3. We must equip ourselves with the knowledge of technology development.</p> <p>37. 1. Importance of digitalization; 2. Effective use of justice delivery system for providing justice to citizen; 3. Being sensitized to right of citizen.</p> <p>38. 1. Importance of synergies; 2. Access to justice; 3. Importance of constitutional vision in dispensation of justice.</p> <p>39. 1. Court and case management; 2. Information and communication technology.</p> <p>40. Judicial work synchronization; constitutional vision of justice; court and case management.</p> <p>41. It has given me an oppportunity to brush up my vision of justice and to modulate my vision of justice as judicial officer.</p> <p>42. Court management.</p>
--	---

	<p>43. Vision of justice; Court management; Technological blending.</p> <p>44. The session lead by Hon'ble Justice Kurian Joseph; 2. The e-governance lead by Hon'ble Justice Dr. S. Muralidhar and class lead by Hon'ble Justice R.V. Raveendran.</p> <p>45. 1. Scope and systems of justice delivery system were elaborated; 2. Synergies of justice dealing of high court and district judiciary were explained; 3. Court management was taught.</p> <p>46. 1. Enhanced constitutional vision while administering justice; 2. Got wisdom to track cases which would accelerate disposal; 4. Trained more in court management.</p> <p>47. 1. Constitutional vision about our work; 2. Need of self-awareness in the work; 3. Planning and extra work to be done.</p> <p>48.1. Constitutional vision of justice; 2. How to manage court.</p> <p>49. 1. Informative and useful; 2. Had occasion to interact with the officers of other states.</p> <p>50. Stress free; What Supreme Court Judges think.</p> <p>51. Some important aspects with regards the administration as well as official work have been discussed which were very useful for the beginners.</p> <p>52. 1. Opportunity to interact with officers of other state; 2. Got an opportunity to share with the situations usually we face.</p> <p>53. 1. Could learn how the synergy can be strengthened, between High Court and District Judiciary; 2. Tools for court management and case management are really good and helpful.</p> <p>54. 1. Gave new insights on constitutional provisions. To develop the art of listening.</p> <p>55. Court management including judge management.</p> <p>56. It is learnt that access to justice is fundamental right.</p> <p>57. Court management system.</p> <p>58. Court management.</p> <p>59. 1. Synergy; 2. E-courts; 3. Appellate interference.</p> <p>60. 1. Constitutional vision of justice; 2. Benefits of information and communication technology in courts; 3. Court and case management.</p> <p>61. 1. Speedy disposal; 2. Court management; 3. Knowledge in technology.</p> <p>62. 1. The conducting of programme by Hon'ble Justice Kurian Joseph very useful; 2. The live programme of conducting lecture of Hon'ble Justice U.U. Lalit very attractive.</p> <p>63. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>64. 1. Excellent insight in case management; 2. Perception of justice; 3. ICT in courts.</p> <p>65. 1. Access to justice is high time in the digital world. 2. Case and court management is need of hour. 3. Constitutional vision of justice shall be imbibed to judges.</p>
--	--

	<p>66. Speedy disposal of cases following the “Constitutional vision of Justice”; 2. Following the quite lines given by the Hon’ble Apex Court in disposal of cases; 3. Court &amp; case management.</p> <p>67. 1. Constitutional vision of justice; 2. Access to justice: Court and case management; 3. Access to justice: Information and communication technology in courts.</p> <p>68. Management; New ways of learning; Introspection.</p> <p>69. Access to justice; Information; case management.</p> <p>70. 1. Constitutional vision of justice; Building synergies; Court and case management.</p> <p>71. 1. Preamble's promise of justice; Synergy between district judiciary and high courts. 3. ICT-in administration of justice.</p> <p>72. To think innovative for speedy justice without compromising with quality of justice- improving skills with-in helps to enhance the judicial excellence.</p> <p>73. 1. Case management; Updated NJDG; 3. Building synergies.</p> <p>74. 1. Constitutional vision of justice; 2. Access to justice with the aid of articles of Indian constitution; 3. Duties of appellate courts.</p> <p>75. <b>Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies</b>- Hon’ble Justice Kurian Joseph advice to look into wordings of Sec 190, 204 and 239 of CrPc.</p> <p>76. 1. Updation of laws; 2. Necessity to bring change management.</p> <p>77. It helps me a lot in upgrading knowledge; It has enhanced our skills updating ourselves to latest decision.</p> <p>78. 1. E-court filing; 2. Avoid excess appeal interference; 3. When deciding a case- there is only one case before you- forget pendency.</p> <p>79. 1. Constitutional vision about trial courts learnt; 2. Importance of technology learnt; 3. Administrative matters learnt.</p> <p>80. Acquiring knowledge about working; Acquiring knowledge about ICT tool Acquiring knowledge about management of court.</p> <p>81. 1. Art of listening simple but light thinking; 2. Mind management of judges.</p> <p>82. 1. Hope it has created understanding between higher and subordinate judiciary; 2. Effective implementation of law, during appellate stage; 3. Case management.</p> <p>83. 1. Experiences shared by Hon’ble luminaries; 2. Judicial discipline; 3. Expectation of a judge.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Case &amp; court management.</p> <p>2. The interactive part.</p> <p>3. <b>Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice; Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies and Session 3: Revisiting Norms for Appellate Review: Consequence of Frequent and Excessive Appellate Interference</b> – To gain knowledge relevant provision of law and interaction is very fruitful.</p>

4. Access to Justice: We realized that we are in transforming era of hundred percent transparency.
5. **Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies**- because this topic and session was more live and interactive method.
6. Participant did not comment.
7. Interactive part of every session; It given us fearlessness to ask question freely.
8. Court management; **Session 5: Access to Justice: Court and Case Management**- manage the flow of case in every day.
9. Constitutional vision of justice- because it could be achieved by utilization of all available technology.
10. Court & case management, constitutional provision; Reduction of pendency.
11. The conclusive remarks & sharing of opinions by the panelist was most useful as it regulated our own thoughts.
12. All five sessions are useful to dispose cases speedily and provide justice of needy in time.
13. Two days programme is useful; Especially- **Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice; Session 5: Access to Justice: Court and Case Management**.
14. Each part.
15. Participant did not comment.
16. **Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies** – We were fortunate to hear the thoughts of Hon’ble Justice from Hon’ble Supreme Court and Hon’ble High Court.
17. The questions raised by Justice Raveendran about the problems & burdens of trial court judges.
18. Case flow management; Brief insight on e-courts.
19. **Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies**.
20. Access to justice – court and case management – since very useful to my court.
21. **Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies**- because it was very lovely and interactive.
22. All the programmes were most useful for the reason that we gained more knowledge in all the programme.
23. **Session 3: Revisiting Norms for Appellate Review: Consequence of Frequent and Excessive Appellate Interference** – since discussions were about practical difficulties of sub-ordinate judiciary.
24. Every session was most important to me.
25. I could not specify any one because all the part of the programme was most useful.
26. Interaction session.
27. Court and case management as the same was helpful in the day to day activities of my present functioning.
28. Access to court; case management; our constitutional vision of justice.
29. Constitutional vision of justice; The views taken by Hon’ble Supreme Court in relating to under trial prisoner, understand the real concept of such directions.

	<p>30. All the sessions very useful.</p> <p>31. Constitutional vision of justice in criminal &amp; civil laws the constitutional protection in CPC &amp; CrPc; That vision without foundation (ie constitution) is nothing.</p> <p>32. <b>Session 3: Revisiting Norms for Appellate Review: Consequence of Frequent and Excessive Appellate Interference.</b></p> <p>33. General interaction.</p> <p>34. <b>Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies-</b> Hon'ble Justice R.V. Raveendran has addressed the problems correctly and we are expecting it's results.</p> <p>35. Court management.</p> <p>36. All parts of the programmes are must useful because all sessions will help us in our work.</p> <p>37. Digitalization to manage backlog of cases, digitalization is mandatory.</p> <p>38. High court and subordinate judiciary building synergies.</p> <p>39. Court management; It is very useful for day to day work.</p> <p>40. <b>Session 5: Access to Justice: Court and Case Management-</b> very informative.</p> <p>41. Interactive session by Justice Kurian Joseph combining the law of the constitution with the basics of civil as well as criminal jurisprudence.</p> <p>42. <b>Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies.</b></p> <p>43. All parts are useful.</p> <p>44. The session lead by Hon'ble Justice Kurian Joseph.</p> <p>45. Interactive session by Hon'ble Justice Kurian Joseph; 2. Discussed issues in lower courts in grass root level.</p> <p>46. <b>Session 5: Access to Justice: Court and Case Management.</b></p> <p>47. Constitutional vision of justice; It is also my view that the subordinate court judges also should consider constitution as their 'bible'.</p> <p>48. Information and communication technology in courts it will be necessary in coming years.</p> <p>49. <b>Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies and Session 3: Revisiting Norms for Appellate Review: Consequence of Frequent and Excessive Appellate Interference</b> – because of interactions and discussion of practical aspects which are faced by judicial officer.</p> <p>50. <b>Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies and Session 3: Revisiting Norms for Appellate Review: Consequence of Frequent and Excessive Appellate Interference</b> – Interaction.</p> <p>51. How to write fruitful judgement.</p> <p>52. <b>Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies and Session 3: Revisiting Norms for Appellate Review: Consequence of Frequent and Excessive Appellate Interference</b> – because of the interaction sessions by Hon'ble Justice Kurian Joseph.</p> <p>53. 1. Practical experience sharing; 2. Discussion.</p>
--	---

54. Participant did not comment.
55. E-filing as it is a new trend.
56. Case management; Court management.
57. Constitutional vision of justice which is required to all the judges in this day.
58. *Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice; Session 4: Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts and Session 5: Access to Justice: Court and Case Management-* helped change my perception towards my work and the need to adapt to technology in enhancing one's performance.
59. Access to justice court and case management.
60. Entire programme is most useful as it enlightened the officer's on important aspects and it is useful for effective discharge of duties.
61. Inspired by the class of Justice Kurian Joseph.
62. The way of the conducting the programme by the Hon'ble Justice Kurian Joseph is very very useful.
63. Participant did not comment.
64. Case management and ICT in court.
65. Building synergies.
66. Constitutional vision of justice in disposal of cases following the court & case management.
67. Building synergies- it will be useful to improve the quality of work.
68. **Synergies** between High Court and District Court.
69. Entire programme was useful for better disposal & understanding the subjects.
70. Court management and building synergies because the presentation enhanced confidence of junior judges to work confidently.
71. High Court & District Judiciary Synergy – Judicial Officers can work without fear or favour if they get opinion that High Court is their guardian.
72. All the programmes are interrelated to each other thus ended with fruitful result.
73. Vision of justice.
74. *Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies and Session 3: Revisiting Norms for Appellate Review: Consequence of Frequent and Excessive Appellate Interference* – found most useful. The participation of Justice Kurian Joseph, Justice Mohan M. Shantanagoudar, Justice R.V. Raveendran; the subjects of sessions as to building synergies and appeal and review and interaction.
75. High court and District judiciary building synergies; court and case management which would help us to deliver justice.
76. 1. High court & district judiciary building synergies; 2. Consequence of frequent and excessive appellate interference.

	<p>77. <b>Session 3: Revisiting Norms for Appellate Review: Consequence of Frequent and Excessive Appellate Interference</b> – was most helpful because we regularly deal with the provision covered under the session.</p> <p>78. Day one- discussion and more of presentation by Justice U.U. Lalit and Justice R.V. Raveendran; Day Second, Second session Dr. T.B. Radhakrishnan.</p> <p>79. All the parts of the programme Resource Person are good especially last session was the best.</p> <p>80. Using of ICT and access to justice, synergies and our court management and case management was very fruitful.</p> <p>81. Excellent practical advices by Resource Persons with their personal experiences.</p> <p>82. <b>Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies</b>- as it provided introspection for roles of higher judiciary while dealing with issues of subordinate judiciary.</p> <p>83. Synergies and use of information and technologies in court.</p>
<p>3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. No such programme.</p> <p>5. <b>Session 4: Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts</b>- because, now a days the judges face problems in court due to inefficiency of staffs.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. Nothing like that.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Nothing. Each and every one of programme is very much important for implementation of constitutional justice.</p> <p>10. Nil</p> <p>11. None was least useful.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Nil</p> <p>17. <b>Session 3: Revisiting Norms for Appellate Review: Consequence of Frequent and Excessive Appellate Interference</b> – Comparatively less useful since I do not sit in appeal. But, still learnt a few things.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. None.</p> <p>21. None.</p>

22. Participant did not comment.
23. Participant did not comment.
24. Participant did not comment.
25. Nothing.
26. Participant did not comment.
27. Participant did not comment.
28. Revisiting norms for appellate review.
29. Participant did not comment.
30. Participant did not comment.
31. Revisiting norms for appellate review.
32. Participant did not comment.
33. Participant did not comment.
34. None of the sessions.
35. Court management because we are always facing this problem.
36. NA
37. Every part was useful.
38. No comment.
39. Consequence of frequent and excessive appellate interference; No effective discussion happened on the subject.
40. Nothing.
41. Nil
42. ***Session 4: Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts.***
43. No part can be said to be least useful.
44. ***Session 3: Revisiting Norms for Appellate Review: Consequence of Frequent and Excessive Appellate Interference-*** it was useful only for the appellate judges.
45. All areas were useful.
46. ***Session 3: Revisiting Norms for Appellate Review: Consequence of Frequent and Excessive Appellate Interference*** – because I have no appellate jurisdiction.
47. None.
48. Participant did not comment.
49. Nil.
50. Nil
51. Nil
52. Nil
53. Participant did not comment.
54. Participant did not comment.
55. No programme.

	<p>56. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>57. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>58. <i>Session 3: Revisiting Norms for Appellate Review: Consequence of Frequent and Excessive Appellate Interference</i> – not pertaining to subordinate judiciary.</p> <p>59. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>60. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>61. All programme are useful.</p> <p>62. All part of the programme are very useful.</p> <p>63. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>64. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>65. Revisiting norms for appellate review; Consequence of frequent &amp; excessive appellate interference; The reason is subject should have been dealt more comprehensively.</p> <p>66. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>67. Revisiting norms for appellate review and consequences of frequent and excessive appellate interference.</p> <p>68. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>69. Nil</p> <p>70. Nil</p> <p>71. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>72. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>73. Revising norms for appellate review.</p> <p>74. None.</p> <p>75. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>76. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>77. <i>Session 5: Access to Justice: Court and Case Management-</i> Only general and regular instructions were given.</p> <p>78. Nil</p> <p>79. Nil</p> <p>80. Nil</p> <p>81. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>82. None.</p> <p>83. None.</p>
--	--

<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>2. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>3. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>4. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>5. Once in three month court training or conference to be conducted.</li> <li>6. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>7. More videos may be shown, group discussions can be done, seminars by Judicial Officer.</li> <li>8. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>9. We must have facility to read and prepare any case at any time like e-courts.</li> <li>10. More interactive sessions.</li> <li>11. The conferences may be frequently conducted at the district levels too so that the deliberations of higher level reaches the grass root.</li> <li>12. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>13. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>14. More time for group discussion.</li> <li>15. 1. I request participants shall be given more opportunity to express their short comings and if any remedies they have come out with; 2. Request for future deliberation on the implementation of guidelines issued in case of Imtiyaz Ahmand Vs. State of U.P. on scientific quota method for criminal cases on priority wise; 3. I have also prepared note on the same, if required, would forward to NJA.</li> <li>16. Nothing as such.</li> <li>17. More interactive; More interaction between the delegates.</li> <li>18. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>19. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>20. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>21. Already doing fabulous job. More topics of national importance in civil and criminal laws may be organized at NJA, Bhopal.</li> <li>22. To conduct these type of programmes periodically.</li> <li>23. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>24. This training ensures good result in my work.</li> <li>25. NJA may recommend the judiciary to refix the norms based on the quality and quantity of the cases disposed by the judicial officers.</li> <li>26. Duration of the programme must at least be a week.</li> <li>27. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>28. Similar programme may be conducted once in three month.</li> <li>29. Keep it up as it is in future programmes.</li> <li>30. Participant did not comment.</li> </ol>
---	---

31. The programme is very informative & it will be useful in day to day judicial work; The tips given by lordship in stress busting how to manage the judge in the court, how the judges are watched by others, the court must be lively & vibrant.
32. Participant did not comment.
33. Take particular part in the Act and conduct interactive session.
34. Participant did not comment.
35. All the programmes are arranged with scientific manner and as such no suggestion for improvement.
36. Keep on going the present.
37. Present service being of excellent level, not much improvement is required.
38. Nil
39. Nil
40. Nothing.
41. Introducing more interactive session, including discussion on latest decisions of Supreme Court and High Courts.
42. More emphasis on practical aspects please do not make the delegates wait for tea and lunch, so that time be saved for active conference.
43. Punctuality is to be improved.
44. Time schedule was to be strictly followed as per the programme schedule.
45. Include subjects of trial for practical purpose.
46. Nil.
47. NJA is conducting very good job. Please arrange training for entry level officers (10 days as earlier) if possible.
48. Give interaction only.
49. Training based on new amendments in every Act.
50. Conduct more.
51. Participant did not comment.
52. Practical difficulties which usually faced in courts can be also be discussed; discussion on day to day work can be included.
53. Participant did not comment.
54. Participant did not comment.
55. Better to incorporate topics on victim compensation and other rights of victim.
56. Organize programmes to focus on subject of law and particularly related to amendments.
57. More conference in state level.
58. Participant did not comment.
59. Frequent conferences.
60. Suggest to conduct more programme like this on different topics with more interactive sessions.

<p>61. Instead of 1½ day. 3 days or 4 days for discussion.</p> <p>62. We are suggesting to conducting more Regional Conference by involving the junior judges to District Judges level.</p> <p>63. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>64. 1. Presentation of paper by subordinate Judge will help them develop expertise on topics.</p> <p>65. 1. Prior supply of study material.</p> <p>66. Conducting this type of conference for all the judicial officer is very useful and it will enhance the knowledge of the judicial officers.</p> <p>67. It needs more time in all the topics.</p> <p>68. Conduct programmes more often.</p> <p>69. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>70. Days of the programme have to be increased by arranging some more resource persons lectures.</p> <p>71. One more session on the topic like high court &amp; district judiciary building synergy will be more fruitful.</p> <p>72. Already ground level problems were identified and its about time that these are resolved. Realistic approach is much more needed. Really good conference that reposes confidence in judicial officers. Enhancing judicial excellence is impossible under present pressure of disposal.</p> <p>73. Very effective, Knowledgeable sessions. Supply of material a few days before the conference.</p> <p>74. More sessions regarding High Court and District Judiciary: building synergies. The resource person Justice R.V. Raveendran, Justice Mohan M. Shantanagoudar - needed. Sessions of Justice Kurian Joseph &amp; Justice U.U. Lalit - needed. More time to resource person needed.</p> <p>75. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>76. Arrange more programmes, invite more high court &amp; district judges.</p> <p>77. Regular training on statutory laws to all the judicial officer.</p> <p>78. Nil</p> <p>79. Nil</p> <p>80. Nil</p> <p>81. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>82. Have less number of resource persons in a session or increase the time of each session and reduce the number of sessions.</p> <p>83. Participant did not comment.</p>
---